

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE CONFIRMATION NO. 10/650,491 08/28/2003 Charles Kreutzer 62747 6978 **EXAMINER** 27148 7590 03/24/2006 POLSINELLI SHALTON WELTE SUELTHAUS P.C. SOOHOO, TONY GLEN **700 W. 47TH STREET** ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER **SUITE 1000** KANSAS CITY, MO 64112-1802 1723

DATE MAILED: 03/24/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/650,491	KREUTZER, CHARLES
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Tony G. Soohoo	1723
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period volume to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed rs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 Ja</u> 2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This 3)□ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		•
4) ☐ Claim(s) 12 and 14-21 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 12 and 14-21 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.	
Application Papers		·
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplished any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the ld drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive	on No
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.
Attachment(s)		
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/650,491 Page 2

Art Unit: 1723

DETAILED ACTION

Claim interpretation

1. Claim 21 recites a "configured for coupling" is read as directed to the intended environment and is deemed to only require the structural capability of coupling to a source of water. The scope of the claims does not claim a source of pressurized water. For example, a structure with a mere coupling or an open ended pipe line which may fit into a source tank in which may be fluidly coupled in operation is deemed to meet the claim language.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claim s12-14, and 17, 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gonsalves 4803955.

The claims are so broad that the Gonsalves reference anticipates a tank 14, a system for rinsing the tank 86,89, 90, connectable with also with a water source 98, with a spherical dispenser nozzle with nozzle openings thereon as shown in figure 2 which provides a spray of fluid which impinges on the interior walls of the vessel as seen by the dashed lines which includes an fluid intake port (opening upon the lid to provide the fitting for the dispenser section 86), a removable cover 28, column3, line 20, and a fluid transferring apparatus 20, 80, in communication with the tank

Application/Control Number: 10/650,491

Art Unit: 1723

4. Claims 12-15, and 17-19, 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Moseley 2868516.

The claims are so broad that the Moseley reference anticipates a tank 8, a system for rinsing the tank 56 dispenser 15 connected to the removable cover 9, 16 having a fluid intake port to accept the dispenser 15 in which the end of the dispenser 15 in cooperation with the conical deflector 56 provides a nozzle for producing a conical spray which would impinge upon the interior sides of the tank 8 as seen by the dashed lines and arrows in figure 1, and a fluid transferring apparatus 10, 11 in communication with the tank to remove the fluid from the bottom of the tank via the pump 11 to a discharge line (unnumbered) connected to line 12. With regards to claim 21 note that the pump has couplings which may be connectable with any source if so desired.

5. Claims 12-15, and 17- 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Russell et al 6361201.

The claims are so broad that the Russell et al reference anticipates a tank 12, a system for rinsing the tank 52, 54, 56 dispenser is connected to the removable cover 14 having a fluid intake port in the cover lid 14 to accept the dispenser pipeline whereby at the end of the dispenser it provides a nozzles 52, 56 which may spray an impinge upon the interior sides of the tank 12, and a fluid transferring apparatus 8, 26, 20, 24, 22, 30 in communication with the tank to remove the fluid from the bottom of the tank via the pump 24.

Application/Control Number: 10/650,491 Page 4

Art Unit: 1723

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Russell et al 6361201.

The Russell et al reference discloses all of the recited subject matter as defined within the scope of the claims with the exception of the pump being a diaphragm pump.

The use of diaphragm pumps are an old and well known class of pump mechanisms such as centripetal or diaphragm or displacement pumps which all pump fluids.

Absent an unexpected result, it is deemed that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to substitute the pump 24 of the Russell et al reference with a diaphragm pump of a known structural functional equivalent so as to provide a more conventionally made device should diaphragm pumps be more readily available than other commonly known pumps.

8. Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Russell et al 6361201 in view of Gonsalves 4803955.

The Russell et al reference discloses all of the recited subject matter as defined within the scope of the claims with the exception of the fluid transport line having a configuration for coupling with a source of pressurized water.

Art Unit: 1723

The reference to Gonsalves teaches that a pipeline 92 may be provided with a coupling 98 which may be utilized to feed water into the apparatus. In view of the teaching of Gonsalves, it is deemed that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide a connection of the fluid transport line with a coupling so that it may easily provide and connect to water so that water may be introduced into the apparatus.

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments filed 1-3-2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. With regards applicants arguments to the Gonsalves reference, the fluid distribution structure and the fluid transfer structure is positively pointed out in the rejection above.

Conclusion

- 10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Otte 5609417 is an example of a mixing tank with a fluid spray and a fluid transport, and fluid dispensing system.
- 11. Applicant has added the feature of a system for rinsing the tank with features fluid wall contact, and added the combination of additional features in a dependent claim which now presents a new combination of element not previously presented upon its merits. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See

Art Unit: 1723

MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tony G. Soohoo whose telephone number is (571) 272 1147. The examiner can normally be reached on 7-5PM,Tue-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wanda Walker can be reached on 571-272-1151. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tony G Soohoo Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1723

TONY G. SOOHOO PRIMARY EXAMINER